


Alternate (Quantitative) Method Comparison

X Method Venous t=5

Y Method HC 3.0 LiH t=120



Regression Analysis

	Deming	Regular
Slope	0,867 (0,792 to 0,941)	0,856 (0,781 to 0,930)
Intercept	50,3 (25,3 to 75,3)	53,6 (28,7 to 78,5)
Std Err Est	25,8	25,7

95% Confidence Intervals are shown in parentheses

Supporting Statistics

Corr Coef (R)	0,9849	SubRange Bounds	None
Bias	11,3 (3,9 %)	Points (Plotted/Total)	20/20
X Mean ± SD	292,8 ± 166,7	Outliers	None
Y Mean ± SD	304,1 ± 144,8	Scatter Plot Bounds	None
Std Dev Diffs	34,8		

Experiment Description

	X Method	Y Method
Expt Date	15 mei 2020	15 mei 2020
Rep SD	1	1
Result Ranges	122 to 725	104 to 689
Units	pmol/l	pmol/l
Reagent	--	--
Calibrators	--	--
Analyst	Lisanne	Lisanne
Comment		

Accepted by: _____

Signature

Date

Alternate (Quantitative) Method Comparison

X Method Venous t=5

Y Method HC 3.0 LiH t=120

Experimental Results




Specimen	X	Y	Bias	Specimen	X	Y	Bias	Specimen	X	Y	Bias
S00001	587	570	-17	S00008	157	178	21	S00015	145	201	56
S00002	392	358	-34	S00009	122	104	-18	S00016	169	188	19
S00003	293	286	-7	S00010	172	211	39	S00017	725	689	-36
S00004	253	306	53	S00011	391	358	-33	S00018	357	325	-32
S00005	168	211	43	S00012	159	196	37	S00019	177	238	61
S00006	197	211	14	S00013	484	475	-9	S00020	437	433	-4
S00007	307	311	4	S00014	164	233	69				

Values with an "X" were excluded from the calculations. Outliers "O" were also excluded.

Alternate (Quantitative) Method Comparison

X Method Venous t=5

Y Method HC 3.0 LiH t=120



This page contains a larger, working copy of the same graphs that appear on page 1.