


Alternate (Quantitative) Method Comparison

X Method Venous t=5

Y Method HC 3.0 LiH t=120



Regression Analysis

	Deming	Regular
Slope	1,028 (0,960 to 1,096)	1,019 (0,951 to 1,086)
Intercept	-2,1 (-7,6 to 3,4)	-1,4 (-6,9 to 4,0)
Std Err Est	5,2	5,2

95% Confidence Intervals are shown in parentheses

Supporting Statistics

Corr Coef (R)	0,9911	SubRange Bounds	None
Bias	-0,1 (-0,1 %)	Points (Plotted/Total)	20/20
X Mean ± SD	72,5 ± 37,3	Outliers	Not Tested
Y Mean ± SD	72,3 ± 38,3	Scatter Plot Bounds	None
Std Dev Diff	5,1		

Experiment Description

	X Method	Y Method
Expt Date	15 mei 2020	15 mei 2020
Rep SD	1	1
Result Ranges	17 to 145	20 to 143
Units	nmol/l	nmol/l
Reagent	--	--
Calibrators	--	--
Analyst	Lisanne	Lisanne
Comment		

Accepted by: _____

Signature

Date

Alternate (Quantitative) Method Comparison

X Method Venous t=5

Y Method HC 3.0 LiH t=120

Experimental Results




Specimen	X	Y	Bias	Specimen	X	Y	Bias	Specimen	X	Y	Bias
S00001	59	61	2	S00008	63	61	-2	S00015	34	30	-4
S00002	86	87	1	S00009	56	59	3	S00016	35	33	-2
S00003	42	40	-2	S00010	39	36	-3	S00017	138	137	-1
S00004	121	120	-1	S00011	65	63	-2	S00018	57	54	-3
S00005	46	41	-5	S00012	82	90	8	S00019	53	60	7
S00006	110	102	-8	S00013	75	70	-5	S00020	145	143	-2
S00007	126	140	14	S00014	17	20	3				

Values with an "X" were excluded from the calculations.

Alternate (Quantitative) Method Comparison

X Method Venous t=5

Y Method HC 3.0 LiH t=120



This page contains a larger, working copy of the same graphs that appear on page 1.